

| Meerut Institute of Engineering \& Technology, Meerut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exit Feedback form Analysis (2016-2020) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very Good ${ }^{\text {Total Strength }}$ |  |  |  |  | 759 |  |  |  |
|  | Parameters | Excellent |  |  |  |  |  | Average |  | Below Average |  | Total |
| 1 | Rate the Physical Facility | 135 | 17.79\% | 227 | 29.91\% | 277 | 36.50\% | 104 | 13.70\% | 16 | 2.11\% | 3.47 |
| 2 | Rate the Library Facility | 162 | 21.34\% | 267 | 35.18\% | 241 | 31.75\% | 72 | 9.49\% | 17 | 2.24\% | 3.63 |
| 3 | Rate the computational and Internet Facility | 148 | 19.50\% | 217 | 28.59\% | 226 | 29.78\% | 117 | 15.42\% | 45 | 6.72\% | 3.38 |
| 4 | Rate the Grivances address by department/Institute | 145 | 19.10\% | 209 | 27.54\% | 248 | 32.67\% | 106 | 13.97\% | 22 | 6.72\% | 3.38 |
| 5 | Rate your satisfaction level in terms of on-campus and off campus placement support provided by the MIET | 169 | 22.27\% | 189 | 24.90\% | 207 | 27.27\% | 133 | 17.52\% | 61 | 8.04\% | 3.35 |
| 6 | Rate the general discipline of the deparment/Institute | 154 | 20.29\% | 257 | 33.86\% | 243 | 32.02\% | 89 | 11.73\% | 16 | 2.11\% | 3.58 |
| 7 | Rate the overall ambiance of the department/Institute | 154 | 20.29\% | 220 | 28.99\% | 278 | 36.63\% | 88 | 11.59\% | 15 | 2.50\% | 3.53 |
| 8 | Rate the Trainings provided by the department/Institute | 152 | 20.03\% | 196 | 25..82\% | 259 | 34.12\% | 110 | 14.49\% | 33 | 5.53\% | 3.40 |
| 9 | Rate the Teaching Learning Process of the department | 184 | 24.24\% | 244 | 32.15\% | 239 | 31.49\% | 66 | 8.70\% | 26 | 3.43\% | 3.65 |
| 10 | Rate the level of your exceotation that MIET has fulfilled | 123 | 16.21\% | 202 | 26.61\% | 270 | 35.57\% | 129 | 17.00\% | 35 | 4.61\% | 3.32 |
| 11 | Any Other Comments/Suggestion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| S.NO | Roll no | Name | Branch | Placed <br> (Y/N) | comment |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1606810157 | Kamal Kumar Saini | CS | N | Add on more training programmes. Do collaborate with companies, try to bring core companies more |
| 2 | 1606810154 | Jessuir Speuer | CS | Y | should focus on practical more. |
| 3 | 1606810350 | Urvashi Tomar | CS | Y | should also fopcus on practicals more, should also provide the clear prespective regarding everthing to <br> students |
| 4 | 1648710020 | Shahzad ali | CS | N | overall good \& excellent |
| 5 | 1748710901 | Apurva Pandey | CS | N | Behaviour of guards on gate is worst |
| 6 | 1748710903 | Pradipti Singh | CS | N | nice college and helping staff |
| 7 | 1606810167 | Kushagra Agarwal | CS | Y | Inclusion of international seminar in curriculom was good decision. Similar event must be regularly <br> organized. My real grievance is form education system. Hope NEP20 will bring reforms. |
| 8 | 1606810168 | Lakshay | CS | Y | there should be atleast one lecture for sports every day |


| 17 | 1606810353 | Uttkarsh | CS | Y | contact me personally, I'll tell in detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 1606810359 | Varit garg | CS | Y | 1 focus more on data structure algorithm <br> 2.create coding clubs- coding means here data structure, algorithms \& competitive coding from 1yr itself <br> 3. no good company visit campus, learn from college of NCR, how to call companies <br> 4.no focus for preparing students for product based companies <br> 5. only 2 lakh, 1 lakh wali startup visit the campus. (which is just a joke on the name of placement) <br> 6.focus on skills rather than attendance, students already knows how to pass semester exams <br> 7. Teacher should know that ultimate goal is placement not masks so must focus more of skills required in industries. <br> 8. atlest <br> accenture, Bosch hashed \&n, samsung like companies visit the campus so that skilled students get better placement. |
| 19 | 1606810083 | Arjun srivastava | CS | Y | there should be summer trainings of placements at he start of first year. |
| 20 | 1606810076 | Anurag yadav | CS | Y | don't exploit students for money like hostel fees |
| 21 | 1606810152 | Himanshu shukla | CS | Y | make students learn new skills rather then facing then fs attendance and marks |
| 22 | 1606810151 | Himanshu sharma | CS | Y | it was a wonderful experience being here being from tier 3 city I have learn a lot and was capble to baggarg many great placement of campus. |
| 23 | 1606810150 | himanshu gupta | CS | Y | add intership programms |
| 24 | 1606810111 | ayush omray | CS | Y | please in too duce more. Pessonality development activities in college along with curriculum studies |
| 25 | 1606810114 | bhaskar arya | CS | Y | no time for self growth. And most of the teacher do not help in project on do not about it. Study should be project/practial apmoach |
| 26 | 1606810364 | vidhi tyagi | CS | Y | instead of just focussing on the theoritical knowledge only practical and project should be focussed on more |
| 27 | 1606810363 | venkat | CS | $N$ | very good utmosphere in campus |
| 28 |  | shivansinchaudhary | CS | Y | rather just focusing on learing, more focus should be on practicality and discipline in the campus is one thing that most has to be taken care of |
| 29 | 1606810294 | shivam yadav | CS | Y | college facility needs to improve traing process for cs deparment |


| 30 | 1606810293 | shivam vats | CS | Y | more coding practice session must be including rather then theory knowledge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 1606810143 | harsh gupta | CS | Y | please do include some sports activities in the college curricullum. Also try to organise various webinar in upcoming technology |
| 32 | 1606810207 | naman chikana | CS | Y | given that we're affiliated by aktu we have to study/ teach these subjects. But it would be better for students to focus on coding rather then percentage/attendance. Maybe select a few students and given then freedom. (for attendance) |
| 33 | 1606810281 | sarthak dhasmana | CS | Y | don't focus on theory. Maybe classes enteractive practical oriented |
| 34 | 1606810125 | dheeraj giri | CS | N | better internship \& training (onritco) opportunities for 3rd year students |
| 35 | 1606810246 | priyash srivastava | CS | Y | promote extra curicullam promote college likerature fest, college will be brighted a wt |
| 36 | 1606810313 | shubham | CS | Y | change the teaching methodly bring code to cs students seriously techers focus more on acadmic rather than skills |
| 37 | 1606810308 | shreya geol | CS | Y | the college is overall good that but is need to improve its ambensene a little bit. |
| 38 | 1606810307 | Shreya Bishnoi | CS | Y | training sessions for placements and other devlopmental activities are good |
| 39 | 1606810138 | Gaurav goswami | CS | Y | professionalism should be taought to all teaching/non teaching staff |
| 40 | 1606810270 | Riya singh | CS | Y | try to do things praticallyy rather than theortical |
| 41 | 1628510004 | Bipin kumar | CS | $N$ | I am bipin kumar than are good facilityes and envairment, so I am improve from this college |
| 42 | 1606810194 | Mohd wael | CS | Y | experience colod have been better if placement deparment arrenges placement in higher package providing campanies |
| 43 | 1606810262 | Rishabh rastogi | CS | Y | don't behave badly with any student just because his dues anen't cleared on time |
| 44 | 1606810334 | Tanya thapar | CS | Y | should try to focus more on practical knowledge |
| 45 | $1606810290$ | shivam gupta dite of | CS | N | provide more trainings which are required according to the industry joint of viev and more practical knowledge rather just exam and pass Anstituio |
| 46 |  | shivam sorona | CS | Y | implement ac in classrooms, focus more on technical knowledge than assignmen s. |


| 47 | 1606810289 | shivam garg | CS | Y | should focus to devlop the programming skills ion the early duration of course |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | 106810188 | mehul varshney | CS | Y | college never support to final year students many teachers are baised and they take wrong actions dur to their ego. |
| 49 | 1606810056 | amrit jain | CS | Y | please improve coding skills rather than sales force AWS etc drcrease one/two academic lectures and add coding labs |
| 50 | 1606810184 | mayank jindal | CS | Y | library and study level has to be increased and placement too |
| 51 | 1606813017 | divyansh jindal | CS | Y | need to do work labes |
| 52 | 1606810373 | vivek gupta | CS | Y | nice to be a part of MIET |
| 53 | 1606813040 | Rishabh | CS | Y | faculties are not skilled and very inresponsible towards their duties. Internal marks are not given fairly and that makes students ineligible to sit in placement derive. Overall experience was worst. I could have hated below zero, literally no MNC visit the campus to hire. there are take placement packages shown on social media to attract innocent students. if someone has done B.tech from MIET and after that he does some higher studies from abuoad on other college then MIET did noting in his her placement so shop showing those fake posts on your social media accounts. faculties are inresponsible at true hieghts. they don't even check your sessional sheets and marks you absent. i was asked to write the truth. so i wrote if iwas asked to write good things then i world have thought about that too. |
| 54 | 1606813055 | tanmay garg | CS | Y | improve sports facilities for students |
| 55 | 1606810054 | amit kashyap | CS | Y | overall good experience |
| 56 | 1606810057 | anamika kaushik | CS | N | after spending 4 years of my life, thankyou for making us stupendeous students and person |
| 57 | 1606810179 | mansi mishra | CS | Y | provide trainig industrial demand technologies according to |
| 58 | 1606810181 | mayank chauhar | CS | Y | guidau about fresher from ist year telling about how comhensive coding is imp |
| 59 | $1606810223$ | poojagoel bingio | CS |  | should improve learning process so that student can learning basic subject stocam in well manner |
| 60 |  | Prateek grover | CS | Y | marks don't define a student, but in MIET they are considered the defining factartsoofeerne should work on that. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 61 | 1606810229 | pragya mittal | CS | Y | the teacher who used to teach us in first and second year were mostly excellent, but from third year <br> (particularly sem 6) we were not taught by a good teachers. Also the classes are dirty and its very <br> intolerable during slot weather. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |



| S.NO | Roll no | Name | Branch | Placed ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) | comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1606813012 | Ashutosh agarwal | IT | Y | provide the lab training rather than theoritical knowledge |
| 2 | 1606813045 | shivam | IT | Y | improve teacher and training quality, your teachers have zero real development/coding experience |
| 3 | 1606813042 | shashank maan | IT | Y | at least 1 or 2 free games lectures must be provide within a week equipment provide by the campus for playing |
| 4 | 1606813049 | shubham kumar | IT | $Y$ | make discipline a priovity |
| 5 | 1606813052 | sohan sharma | IT | Y | teach student placement related things more than books, etc |
| 6 | 1606813058 | utkarsh gupta | IT | N | provide a practical learning have approch and should than for real problem senario |
| 7 | 1606813031 | nikhil kumar | IT | Y | faculties teaching the newly introduced subject must be well trained in the subject prior to giving lectures to students |
| 8 | 1606813001 | abhishek kumar | IT | N | 1 should focus on practicals rather placing exams 5-6 times a semester 2. should concentrate on fulfilling the aspiring skills of the candidate <br> 3. should focus on teaching about nations culture and weritage |
| 9 | 1606800037 | mohd ajmal | CIVIL | N | there is a need of experience faculty who have good teaching skills and deep knowledge of subject |
| 10 | 1606800055 | saiyyam kueshreshtha | CIVIL | N | al though college life is oridinarily very good, but MIET heep is to make it excellent, in terms of academics, faculty, cordination and the overall student staff |
| 11 | 1606800034 | mayank jangid | CIVIL | N | lots of moments, gonna miss that all |
| 12 | 1606800071 | vinay choudhary | CIVIL | N | please improve sports facilities |
| 13 | 1748800911 | Ialit kumar | CIVIL | N | good campus but not support finenesely weakly student |
| 14 | 1648800016 | shubham kumar | CIVIL | N | exam me bacho ko pass pass baithna chahiye khas kar objective vaale me |
| 15 | 1606840084 | mukul kumar | UNKNOWN | N | good teachers leave the institiute |
| 16 | 1606810209 | neha gupta | UNKNOWN | Y | in our college no guidance is provided to students regarding what all the options that they can go ahead with along with that emphasines should be done, one practical knowloedge rather than just going on with the Irekish stuffs. At go also one very important point that $i$ feel is that students are not at all aware about competative programmimg. there is not a very good coding culture in the college. in many very good colleges other than IIT's and NIT's this culture is followed and this helps the students in the very in final year of their engineering that whatis it's importance. also along with that submission of projects should be made strict so that students will learn as latest technologies. hope this helps thankyou |
| 17 | $1606813044$ | shashwat rai <br> ino | UNKNOWN | Y | please support the student of your college in campus placement. I was reject by wipro in Hpround and in TCS in technical round because of the pythatic reason of two years of gap inmy educati aggrigate score is $84 \%$ till 3rd year but I have not got you support form placement team. |
| 18 | $16008554049$ | pankhừri saxena | BT | N | proper placement details were not provide |
| 19 | 1606854042 | muskan ${ }^{\text {chapaor }}$ | BT | N | can work on inprastructure and hygine |
| 20 | 1506854036 | malvikamishra | BT | Y |  |


| 21 | 1606854015 | arshita jindal | BT | N | allow restriction for boys also else do not restrict girls from going home. Internet should be easly <br> assesable which is not. It is accesble only in computer labs for institiute computers |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | 1606854020 | ayesha sanober | BT | N | more experienced faculties should be seruited experienced and docteral ever faculties must be <br> treasured and kept in the college e library facility must be provided |
| 23 | 1606854007 | akash gupta | BT | Y | hostel management is not good |
| 24 | 1606854004 | Aditya tyagi | BT | Y | deaprtment requires of proper handling and consitancy |



| S.NO | Roll no | Name | Branch | Placed (Y/N) | comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1606831038 | Deepanshu sharma | EC | $Y$ | I have mentioned this several times before that there must be a good sports environm |
| 2 | 1606831053 | ishank choudhary | EC | Y | you should more focus on placement oriented studies rather than subject knowledge |
| 3 | 1606831013 | Aman garg | EC | Y | spending 4 years in this college is and excellent experience |
| 4 | 1606831102 | rohit kumar | EC | Y | 1. college needs to be more focused on sports facilities for students there is no cricket, football ground 2. their should be atleast 2 games periods in a week for every year |
| 5 | 1606831118 | shalini tyagi | EC | Y | let the student dream, I know studies are important but let them be involved in extra cirrcular also and learning more trophies for the college like our vollyball tear(girls) did |
| 6 | 1606831125 | shivi teotia | EC | Y | a bit lineency to students by not making it much as a school |
| 7 | 1606831114 | sanyam sharma | EC | Y | education quality can be improved |
| 8 | 1506831075 | kaustubh vats | EC | N | scope of improvement in registror office, as they do not listen of them |
| 9 | 1606831108 | sagar sharma | EC | Y | please focus more on developing the skills of students rather than taking theory calsses 24*7 |
| 10 | 1606831144 | vinod kumar joshi | EC | Y | more focus on summer internship/training |
| 11 | 1606831143 | vidushi bansal | EC |  | MIET is wonderful college. Thankyou for making college life memorable on. I learnt a lot. |
| 12 | 1606831079 | nitin shrivastava | EC | Y | improve the library system students must have proof of issued books |
| 13 | 1606831081 | parul tomar | EC | Y | to provide more tech labs in institiute |
| 14 | 1606831083 | Persis | EC | Y | please try to bring more companies for hiring of electronics engineering |
| 15 | 1606831022 | Asshita Garg | EC | Y | focus on more practical work. Never break the chain of improvement with every passing year. Bye MIET thankyou for making me a better person |
| 16 | 1606831095 | Rajan Tyagi | EC | N | overall excellent |
| 17 | 1606831046 | Gargi Kaushik | EC | Y | increase the time of issuing of library books |
| 18 | 1728540906 | somjeet kumar | ME | N | this college is guidence of very serious problem solved and support the student |
| 19 | 1606840107 | rajat dhaka | ME | Y | plz do not send take emails regarding company visiting |
| 20 | 1606840173 | virat chaudhary | ME | N | do something for mechnical students call the companies in campus of core industries, make the placement at good package |
| 21 | 1606840109 | rajul ahlawat | ME | N | improve placement for mechnical |
| 22 | 1606840171 | vikas kumar | ME | N | placement is too low in mechnical field please improve it |
| 23 | 1606840176 | vivek kumar mogha | ME | Y | every department should have its own libreary in its building rather than the central library |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 1606840158 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ME | N | don't just focus on emanis and staff. Just hold more minds towards the innoyation and practical field knowledge |
| 25 | \$906840113 | rishabh singh | ME | Y | involve multiple project through out four year. Not just one or two |
| 26 | $\text { 若 } 606840162$ | utkarsh/sangal | ME | Y | proper orientation to be given for each department students, so each stedén sume required job skills and completely utilize the institiute potential facilities |


| 27 | 1606840160 | umang tyagi | ME | N | college have to focus on trainings which are related to industries and through which student got placement in companies. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 1606840171 | sarthak rana | ME | N | infrastructure must be improved |
| 29 | 1506840188 | shray | ME | N | core company placement are nill (we expect some good company) but instead get MBA, or 10 k salery company which we can also get own our own |
| 30 | 1606840083 | mukul anand sharma | ME | N | should also focus on branches other than coumputer sicence |
| 31 | 1606840087 | navneet sapnawat | ME | N | engineer can not be a mugger |
| 32 | 1606840103 | pulkit sharma | ME | $N$ | mechnical students should be given proper practical knowledge that will be used in further life such as CVC,VMC programming. Placement should be increased in core companies, placement cell has to provide proper information regarding the company they are going to place not consultancy service should be stop in college placement that will cause disturbarnce. practical knowledge and practical examination should be enhanced in mechnical so that students dont feel dull when they go to indutries. indutrial visits has to made compulsary for all students so that no one can leave who wanna go. |
| 33 | 1606840143 | shubham kumar | ME | N | lack of support for preparing of exams related to core companies for placements |
| 34 | 1606840146 | siddhant areen | ME | N | please focus on concept based learning rather than focusing only on marks, definitly it will creativity and same time on students |
| 35 | 1748840924 | vijay singh | ME | N | no everything in great |
| 36 | 1606840135 | shubh maheshwari | ME | Y | very less space in library for sitting prupose, no proper canteen, improve placement and mehcnical |
| 37 | 1606840132 | shivang dixit | ME | N | teachers nature s way of teaching is superb but management and administration department are below average |
| 38 | 1606840100 | praddymn bhatnagar | ME | N | should provide more exposure and interaction opportunities for students |
| 39 | 1606840104 | rahul goswami | ME | N | all admafphere in campus good of well of faculty staff is also good. Placement problem is more defucult |
| 40 | 1606840129 | shivam swami | ME | Y | 1. need to spend more on infrastucture for students rather than spending on administration block. 2. need to focus on pracjca; education for students and not just on attendance and gaining marks. 3. allow students to take part in physical activities |
| 41 | $1606840,26$ | sarveshetivari | ME | N | need to more concentrate on practical rather than theory. Teachers who teach ist year students are very very helpful and kind nature |

Parameters of Feedback on which feedback is taken

| S.No. | Parameters |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Curriculum and Syllabi of the Courses |
| 2 | Usage of teaching aids and ICT in the class by faculty to facilitate teaching |
| 3 | Punctuality \& Regularity in the Class |
| 4 | Command in subject |
| 5 | Progress \& coverage of syllabus |
| 6 | Communication skills |
| 7 | Chill of linking subject to life experience \& creating interest in the subject |
| 8 | Quality of assignments \& study material |
| 9 | Shares the answers of class tests or sessional test questions after the conduct of the class tests/sessional tests. |
| 10 | Opportunities for out of class room learning (guest lectures, seminars, Content beyond the syllabus) |
| 11 | Helps students in realizing their strengths, developmental needs and career goals are covered sequentially and in planned manner |
| 12 | Overall Learning Experience |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |



| 3 | Dr. Anoop Kumar | BP-103T | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $1.1(36.4 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 3.64 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Dr. Anjana Sharma | BP-104T | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $1.8(36.4 \%)$ | 4.09 |
| 5 | Mrs. Renu Sharma | BP-105T | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $2.5(63.6 \%)$ | $1.4(27.3 \%)$ | 4.18 |
| 6 | MS. PREETI CHAUDHARY | BP-106RBT | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.8(45.5 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.55 |
| 7 | MRS. MONIKA MALIK | BP-106RMT | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $1.8(36.4 \%)$ | 4.27 |



| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dr. Sachin Kumar | BP-501T | 25 | $0.1(7.6 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.5(16.7 \%)$ | $2(50 \%)$ | $0.8(16.7 \%)$ | 3.59 |
| 2 | MS. RUPALI SHARMA | BP-502T | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.4(13.6 \%)$ | $1.9(47 \%)$ | $1.5(30.3 \%)$ | 3.98 |
| 3 | Ms. Preeti Chaudhary | BP-503T | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(4.5 \%)$ | $4.8(95.5 \%)$ | 4.95 |
| 4 | Mrs. Sanjana Datta Das | BP-504P | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $1.2(30.3 \%)$ | $2.6(51.5 \%)$ | 4.33 |
| 5 | Mrs. Sanjana Datta Das | BP-504T | 25 | $0.1(13.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.4(13.6 \%)$ | $1.9(48.5 \%)$ | $1.2(24.2 \%)$ | 3.7 |
| 6 | Dr. Shobhit Kumar | BP-505T | 25 | $0(4.5 \%)$ | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.6(21.2 \%)$ | $0.9(22.7 \%)$ | $2.3(45.5 \%)$ | 3.98 |
| 7 | Mr. Nishant Kumar | BP-506P | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3 \%)$ | $1.8(45.5 \%)$ | $2.6(51.5 \%)$ | 4.48 |
| 8 | Dr. Vrish Dhwaj Ashwlayan | BP-507P | 25 | $0.2(15.2 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.6(21.2 \%)$ | $1(24.2 \%)$ | $1.5(30.3 \%)$ | 3.45 |
| 9 | Ms. Minakshi Singh | BPH-PDP5 | 25 | $0.1(7.6 \%)$ | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.6(19.7 \%)$ | $2.1(51.5 \%)$ | $0.8(15.2 \%)$ | 3.61 |


| Session:: | 19-20odd Branch:: BPH | mester:: 7 | Section:: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Dr. Anurag | RPH-733 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.2 (9.1\%) | 1 (31.8\%) | 1.4 (34.1\%) | 1.3 (25\%) | 3.75 |
| 2 | Mr. Nishant Kumar | RPH-734 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.9 (29.5\%) | 1.8 (45.5\%) | 1.3 (25\%) | 3.95 |
| 3 | Mr. Nishant Kumar | RPH-734P | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (4.5\%) | 0.4 (13.6\%) | 1.8 (45.5\%) | 1.8 (36.4\%) | 4.14 |
| 4 | Mr. Avnesh Kumar Singh | RPH-735 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.6 (31.8\%) | 0.8 (27.3\%) | 1.3 (31.8\%) | 0.5 (9.1\%) | 3.18 |
| 5 | M. Aimberingumar Singh | RPH-735P | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (4.5\%) | 0.8 (27.3\%) | 2.4 (59.1\%) | 0.5 (9.1\%) | 3.7 |
| 6 | Br Satish Kumar छुupta | RPH-736 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.5 (15.9\%) | 2.6 (65.9\%) | 0.9 (18.2 | $\frac{\text { Engg }}{4.02}$ |


| 7 | Dr. Satish Kumar Gupta | RPH-736P | 22 | $0(4.5 \%)$ | 0.1 (4.5\%) | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 3.77 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Mr Ankit Gupta | RPH-737 | 22 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $2.4(59.1 \%)$ | $0.7(13.6 \%)$ | 3.86 |
| 9 | Mr Ankit Gupta | RPH-737P | 22 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(4.5 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $2.4(59.1 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 3.91 |
| 10 | Mr Ankit Gupta | RPH-738 | 22 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1(31.8 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $0.7(13.6 \%)$ | 3.82 |



| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dr. Neha Mittal | IOP-MATLAB | 17 | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0.1(4.8 \%)$ | $0.8(26.7 \%)$ | $1.4(35.8 \%)$ | $1.6(31.6 \%)$ | 3.92 |
| 2 | Dr. Ajay Kumar Singh | IOP-Python | 17 | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0.1(5.9 \%)$ | $0.4(13.4 \%)$ | $1.1(26.7 \%)$ | $2.6(52.9 \%)$ | 4.25 |
| 3 | Mr. D.V. Surya Prakash | IOP-SAP | 17 | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0.2(8.6 \%)$ | $0.9(29.4 \%)$ | $0.6(14.4 \%)$ | $2.3(46.5 \%)$ | 3.97 |
| 4 |  | RBT-053 | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0.1(5.1 \%)$ | $0.4(12.5 \%)$ | $1.5(38.6 \%)$ | $1.9(37.5 \%)$ | 3.96 |
| 5 | Dr Sonam Chawla | RBT-501 | 24 | $0(4.5 \%)$ | $0.2(8.7 \%)$ | $0.6(18.6 \%)$ | $1.1(28 \%)$ | $2(40.2 \%)$ | 3.91 |
| 6 | Dr. Suman Lata | RBT-502 | 24 | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.1(3.8 \%)$ | $0.4(11.7 \%)$ | $1(25 \%)$ | $2.9(58 \%)$ | 4.34 |
| 7 | Mr Neeraj Agrawal | RBT-503 | 24 | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.2(12.1 \%)$ | $0.8(25.4 \%)$ | $1.2(29.9 \%)$ | $1.3(26.5 \%)$ | 3.59 |
| 8 | Dr Abhishek Ajmani | RBT-551 | 24 | $0.1(7.2 \%)$ | $0.2(8.3 \%)$ | $1.1(36.4 \%)$ | $0.7(17 \%)$ | $1.6(31.1 \%)$ | 3.56 |
| 9 | Dr. Suman Lata | RBT-552 | 24 | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0.1(4.5 \%)$ | $0.3(10.2 \%)$ | $0.8(20.5 \%)$ | $3.2(63.6 \%)$ | 4.41 |
| 10 | Mr Neeraj Agrawal | RBT-553 | 24 | $0(4.5 \%)$ | $0.2(11.7 \%)$ | $0.9(30.3 \%)$ | $1.2(29.2 \%)$ | $1.2(24.2 \%)$ | 3.57 |
| 11 | Dr. Gourav Mishra | RBT-554 | 24 | $0.1(8 \%)$ | $0.1(7.2 \%)$ | $0.5(16.7 \%)$ | $1.5(38.3 \%)$ | $1.5(29.9 \%)$ | 3.75 |
| 12 | Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh | RUC-501 | 24 | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.1(5.3 \%)$ | $0.4(14.4 \%)$ | $1.2(29.2 \%)$ | $2.5(49.6 \%)$ | 4.2 |



| 4 | Dr Abhishek Ajmani | RBT-701 | 17 | $0.3(31.6 \%)$ | $0.6(28.9 \%)$ | $0.4(13.4 \%)$ | $0.6(16 \%)$ | $0.5(10.2 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Dr. Yuvraj | RBT-702 | 17 | $0.2(18.7 \%)$ | $0.1(7.5 \%)$ | $0.5(17.1 \%)$ | $1.4(35.8 \%)$ | $1(20.9 \%)$ |
| 6 | Dr. Yuvraj | RBT-751 | 14 | $0.2(20.1 \%)$ | $0.4(17.5 \%)$ | $0.5(15.6 \%)$ | $0.9(21.4 \%)$ | $1.3(25.3 \%)$ |
| 7 | Dr Abhishek Ajmani | RBT-752 | 14 | $0.3(33.1 \%)$ | $0.5(26 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $0.5(12.3 \%)$ | $0.5(10.4 \%)$ |

Session:: 2019-20odd $\quad$ Branch:: CHE

| S.Nos. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dr. Alka Chaudhary | Kas-301 | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.2(5.7 \%)$ | $4.4(87.5 \%)$ | 4.68 |
| 2 | Mr. Avdesh Singh Pundir | $\mathrm{KCH}-301$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.1(2.8 \%)$ | $4.5(90.3 \%)$ | 4.71 |
| 3 | Dr. Neha Sharma | $\mathrm{KCH}-302$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.1(1.7 \%)$ | $4.6(91.5 \%)$ | 4.72 |
| 4 | Mr. Ajay Kumar Jain | $\mathrm{KCH}-303$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0.1(3.4 \%)$ | $4.5(89.2 \%)$ | 4.69 |
| 5 | Dr. Neha Sharma | $\mathrm{KCH}-351$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.1(2.8 \%)$ | $4.5(90.3 \%)$ | 4.71 |
| 6 | Mr. Ajay Kumar Jain | $\mathrm{KCH}-352$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.2(5.7 \%)$ | $4.4(87.5 \%)$ | 4.68 |
| 7 | Dr. Sunil Rajoriya | $\mathrm{KCH}-353$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0.2(4 \%)$ | $4.4(88.6 \%)$ | 4.69 |
| 8 | Ms. Ravinder Kaur | $\mathrm{KNC}-301$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.1(2.8 \%)$ | $4.5(90.3 \%)$ | 4.71 |
| 9 | Dr. Anand Mohan | $\mathrm{KOE}-032$ | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.2(4 \%)$ | $4.5(89.2 \%)$ | 4.7 |



| 2 | Ms. Madhu Singh | RAS-502 | 7 | $0.1(6.5 \%)$ | $0(1.3 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $0.6(14.3 \%)$ | $3(59.7 \%)$ | 4.19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Dr. Sunil Rajoriya | RCH-052 | 7 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.3 \%)$ | $0.8(20.8 \%)$ | $3.9(77.9 \%)$ | 4.77 |
| 4 | Dr. Vibhu Trivedi | RCH-501 | 7 | $0(3.9 \%)$ | $0.3(15.6 \%)$ | $0.1(3.9 \%)$ | $0.5(11.7 \%)$ | $3.2(64.9 \%)$ | 4.18 |
| 5 | Mr. Ajay Kumar Jain | RCH-502 | 7 | $0.1(5.2 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3.9 \%)$ | $1(26 \%)$ | $3.2(64.9 \%)$ | 4.45 |
| 6 | Ms. Divya Agarwal | RCH-503 | 7 | $0.1(5.2 \%)$ | $0(1.3 \%)$ | $0.1(2.6 \%)$ | $0.8(20.8 \%)$ | $3.5(70.1 \%)$ | 4.49 |
| 7 | Dr. Vibhu Trivedi | RCH-551 | 7 | $0.2(18.2 \%)$ | $0(1.3 \%)$ | $0.2(5.2 \%)$ | $0.4(9.1 \%)$ | $3.3(66.2 \%)$ | 4.04 |
| 8 | Dr. Vibhu Trivedi | RCH-552 | 7 | $0.1(5.2 \%)$ | $0.3(14.3 \%)$ | $0.2(5.2 \%)$ | $0.6(14.3 \%)$ | $3.1(61 \%)$ | 4.12 |
| 9 | Ms. Divya Agarwal | RCH-553 | 7 | $0.1(5.2 \%)$ | $0(1.3 \%)$ | $0.1(2.6 \%)$ | $0.4(9.1 \%)$ | $4.1(81.8 \%)$ | 4.61 |
| 10 | Dr. Sunil Rajoriya | RCH-554 | 7 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(2.6 \%)$ | $0.8(19.5 \%)$ | $3.9(77.9 \%)$ | 4.75 |


Session:: 2019-20odd $\quad$ Branch:: CEE

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mr. Yogesh Mohan | KCE-301 | 12 | $0(3.8 \%)$ | $0.3(13.6 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $1.2(30.3 \%)$ | $2.2(43.2 \%)$ | 3.95 |
| 2 | Mr. Nausher Khan | KCE-302 | 12 | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0.2(7.6 \%)$ | $0.3(9.8 \%)$ | $1(25.8 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.23 |
| 3 | Mr. Krishna | KCE-303 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(8.3 \%)$ | $0.2(6.1 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.9(58.3 \%)$ | 4.36 |
| 4 | Mr. Dilip Kumar Rawat | KCE-351 | 11 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3.3 \%)$ | $0.6(19.8 \%)$ | $1.1(28.1 \%)$ | $2.4(48.8 \%)$ | 4.22 |
| 5 | Dr. Vinesh Kumar | KCS-351 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.2(5.3 \%)$ | $1.6(40.2 \%)$ | $2.7(53 \%)$ | 4.45 |
| 6 | Mr. Vivek Kumar | KNC-402 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.4(14.4 \%)$ | $1.3(32.6 \%)$ | $2.6(51.5 \%)$ | 4.34 |
| 7 | Dr. Vinesh Kumar | KOE-035 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.1(3.8 \%)$ | $1.7(42.4 \%)$ | $2.6(52.3 \%)$ | 4.45 |



| 9 | Mr. Rajeev Kumar | RCE-554 | 15 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(5.2 \%)$ | 1 (24.7\%) | $3.5(70.1 \%)$ | 4.65 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Ms. Divya Rathi | RUC-501 | 15 | $0.1(10.2 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.2(6.8 \%)$ | $0.8(19.3 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 3.99 |

Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CEE

| S.Noster:: 7 | Section:: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Mr. Dilip Kumar Rawat | NCE-701 | 12 | $0.2(19.7 \%)$ | $0.2(10.6 \%)$ | $0.4(13.6 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $1(19.7 \%)$ | 3.26 |
| 2 | MOHAMMAD ADNAN | NCE-702 | 12 | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.2(10.6 \%)$ | $0.2(6.1 \%)$ | $1.7(42.4 \%)$ | $2(39.4 \%)$ | 4.08 |
| 3 | Mr. Krishna | RCE-072 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(10.6 \%)$ | $0.7(22.7 \%)$ | $0.3(7.6 \%)$ | $3(59.1 \%)$ | 4.15 |
| 4 | Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg | RCE-076 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2(50 \%)$ | $2.5(50 \%)$ | 4.5 |
| 5 | Mr. Yogesh Mohan | RCE-751 | 12 | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.2(10.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $1.4(28.8 \%)$ | 3.89 |
| 6 | Mr. Anupam Ratn | ROE-074 | 12 | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.6(39.4 \%)$ | $3(59.1 \%)$ | 4.55 |


Session:: 2019-20odd $\quad$ Branch:: CSE

| Semester:: 3 | Section:: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Mr. Pramod Singh | CSE-IOT | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(5.5 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $0.6(12.7 \%)$ | 3.75 |
| 2 | Dr. Kamesh Kumar | KAS-302 | 25 | $0(3.6 \%)$ | $0.1(7.3 \%)$ | $0.7(21.8 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2(40 \%)$ | 3.93 |
| 3 | Ms. Simarpreet Kaur | KCS-301 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(12.7 \%)$ | $0.6(20 \%)$ | $2(49.1 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 3.73 |
| 4 | Mr. Vishal Jayaswal | KCS-302 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $0.5(16.4 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.6(52.7 \%)$ | 4.29 |
| 5 | Mr. Nagresh Kumar | KCS-303 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $0.4(14.5 \%)$ | $1.7(43.6 \%)$ | $1.9(38.2 \%)$ | 4.16 |
| 6 | Ms. Simarpreet Kaur | KCS-351 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(7.3 \%)$ | $0.8(25.5 \%)$ | $2(49.1 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 3.78 |
| 7 | MR. YOGENDRA PRAJAPATI | KCS-352 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(5.5 \%)$ | $1.7(58.2 \%)$ | $1.4(34.5 \%)$ | $0.1(1.8 \%)$ | 3.33 |
| 8 | Mr. Nagresh Kumar | KCS-353 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(5.5 \%)$ | $0.5(16.4 \%)$ | $2.3(56.4 \%)$ | $1.1(21.8 \%)$ | 3.95 |
| 9 | Mr. Vijay Kr. Sharma | KCS-354 | 25 | $0.1(14.5 \%)$ | $0.1(5.5 \%)$ | $1.4(47.3 \%)$ | $1.2(29.1 \%)$ | $0.2(3.6 \%)$ | 3.02 |
| 10 | MR. SANJAY KUMAR SONKER | KNC-301 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(5.5 \%)$ | $2(67.3 \%)$ | $0.9(21.8 \%)$ | $0.3(5.5 \%)$ | 3.27 |
| 11 | Dr. Niyati Garg | KVE-301 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(5.5 \%)$ | $1.7(41.8 \%)$ | 2.6 (52.7\%) | 4.47 |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CSE |  | Semester:: 3 Section:: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MR. AMIT KUMAR | CSE-IOT | 28 | 0 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.8\%) | 0.2 (6.1\%) | 1.4 (34.1\%) | 2.9 (57.6\%) | 4.45 |
| 2 | Vandna Rathor | KAS-302 | 28 | 0.1 (6.8\%) | 0 (2.3\%) | 0.1 (4.5\%) | 1.2 (30.3\%) | 2.8 (56.1\%) | 4.27 |
| 3 | Dr. Neelamishrivastava | KCS-301 | 28 | 0 (0.8\%) | 0.2 (9.8\%) | 0.4 (14.4\%) | 0.9 (22.7\%) | 2.6 (52.3\%) | 4.16 |
| 4 | Mr. Vishal Jaxasmal | KCS-302 | 28 | 0 (1.5\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0.8\%) | 0.5 (11.4\%) | 4.3 (86.4\%) |  |


| 5 | Mr. Nagresh Kumar | KCS-303 | 28 | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0.1(3 \%)$ | $0.1(2.3 \%)$ | $1(25 \%)$ | $3.4(67.4 \%)$ | 4.52 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Dr. Neelam Shrivastava | KCS-351 | 28 | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.1(5.3 \%)$ | $0.4(13.6 \%)$ | $1(25 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.26 |
| 7 | Mr. Vishal Jayaswal | KCS-352 | 28 | $0(0.8 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0.8 \%)$ | $0.6(15.2 \%)$ | $4.2(83.3 \%)$ | 4.8 |
| 8 | Mr. Manoj Kumar | KCS-353 | 28 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $0.2(10.6 \%)$ | $0.3(8.3 \%)$ | $0.8(19.7 \%)$ | $2.6(52.3 \%)$ | 3.95 |
| 9 | Mr. Vijay Kr. Sharma | KCS-354 | 28 | $0.1(9.8 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(7.6 \%)$ | $1.1(28 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.17 |
| 10 | MR. SANJAY KUMAR SONKER | KNC-301 | 28 | $0(0.8 \%)$ | $0(0.8 \%)$ | $0.4(12.1 \%)$ | $1.1(26.5 \%)$ | $3(59.8 \%)$ | 4.44 |
| 11 | Dr. Niyati Garg | KVE-301 | 28 | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.2(5.3 \%)$ | $0.4(9.1 \%)$ | $4.1(82.6 \%)$ | 4.7 |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CSE |  |  | Section:: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MR. AMIT KUMAR | CSE-IOT | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (3.6\%) | 0.3 (10.9\%) | 1.9 (48.2\%) | 1.9 (37.3\%) | 4.19 |
| 2 | Dr. Kamesh Kumar | KAS-302 | 22 | 0 (0.9\%) | 0.1 (3.6\%) | 0.2 (8.2\%) | 1.4 (34.5\%) | 2.6 (52.7\%) | 4.35 |
| 3 | Mr. Ankur Garg | KCS-301 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0.9\%) | 0.2 (6.4\%) | 0.5 (11.8\%) | 4 (80.9\%) | 4.73 |
| 4 | Mr. Punit Mittal | KCS-302 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (2.7\%) | 0.3 (10\%) | 1.7 (43.6\%) | 2.2 (43.6\%) | 4.28 |
| 5 | Dr. Harish Kumar Taluja | KCS-303 | 22 | 0.1 (5.5\%) | 0.2 (10.9\%) | 0.4 (12.7\%) | 1.4 (35.5\%) | 1.8 (35.5\%) | 3.85 |
| 6 | Mr. Ankur Garg | KCS-351 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (1.8\%) | 0.2 (6.4\%) | 0.8 (20.9\%) | 3.5 (70.9\%) | 4.61 |
| 7 | Mr. Davesh Singh Som | KCS-352 | 22 | 0.1 (10\%) | 0 (1.8\%) | 0.6 (20\%) | 1.2 (30\%) | 1.9 (38.2\%) | 3.85 |
| 8 | Dr. Harish Kumar Taluja | KCS-353 | 22 | 0.1 (7.3\%) | 0.2 (8.2\%) | 0.4 (13.6\%) | 1.1 (28.2\%) | 2.1 (42.7\%) | 3.91 |
| 9 | Dr. Mukesh Rawat | KCS-354 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.4 (11.8\%) | 1.7 (41.8\%) | 2.3 (46.4\%) |  |
| 10 | MR.TAANSAYOK̇HMMAR SONKER | KNC-301 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (4.5\%) | 0.4 (14.5\%) | 1.5 (37.3\%) | 2.2 (43.6\%) |  |


| 11 | Dr. Niyati Garg | KVE-301 | 22 | 0 (2.7\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (4.5\%) | 0.9 (22.7\%) | 3.5 (70\%) | 4.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CSE

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ms. Mohini Preetam Singh | CSE-IOT | 16 | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.4(12.5 \%)$ | $0.7(16.5 \%)$ | $3.5(69.3 \%)$ | 4.52 |
| 2 | Dr. Prashant Jindal | KAS-302 | 16 | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.2(6.3 \%)$ | $0.9(22.7 \%)$ | $3.5(69.3 \%)$ | 4.59 |
| 3 | MR. PRADEEP CHAUHAN | KCS-301 | 16 | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.2(7.4 \%)$ | $0.6(15.9 \%)$ | $3.8(75 \%)$ | 4.63 |
| 4 | Mr. Punit Mittal | KCS-302 | 16 | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(1.7 \%)$ | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $1.1(26.7 \%)$ | $3.2(64.2 \%)$ | 4.41 |
| 5 | Mr. Varun Kumar | KCS-303 | 16 | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.3(8.5 \%)$ | $1.2(30.7 \%)$ | $2.9(58 \%)$ | 4.41 |
| 6 | MR. PRADEEP CHAUHAN | KCS-351 | 16 | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(6.8 \%)$ | $0.6(15.3 \%)$ | $3.9(77.3 \%)$ | 4.69 |
| 7 | Mr. Punit Mittal | KCS-352 | 16 | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.3(11.4 \%)$ | $1(24.4 \%)$ | $3.2(63.1 \%)$ | 4.49 |
| 8 | Mr. Varun Kumar | KCS-353 | 16 | $0.1(5.1 \%)$ | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.2(8 \%)$ | $0.9(22.7 \%)$ | $3.2(63.6 \%)$ | 4.39 |
| 9 | Dr. Mukesh Rawat | KCS-354 | 16 | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0(1.7 \%)$ | $0.2(6.8 \%)$ | $0.8(21 \%)$ | $3.5(69.9 \%)$ | 4.58 |
| 10 | MR. SANJAY KUMAR SONKER | KNC-301 | 16 | $0(0.6 \%)$ | $0.1(7.4 \%)$ | $0.5(15.9 \%)$ | $0.8(19.9 \%)$ | $2.8(56.3 \%)$ | 4.24 |
| 11 | Dr. Niyati Garg | KVE-301 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.1 \%)$ | $0.2(7.4 \%)$ | $0.8(19.3 \%)$ | $3.6(72.2 \%)$ | 4.63 |



| 3 | Mr. Sunil Kumar | KCS-301 | 24 | $0.1(8.1 \%)$ | $0.1(7.1 \%)$ | $0.6(19.2 \%)$ | $0.6(16.2 \%)$ | $2.5(49.5 \%)$ | 3.92 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | MR. YOGENDRA PRAJAPATI | KCS-302 | 24 | $0.2(19.2 \%)$ | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.5(16.2 \%)$ | $0.7(18.2 \%)$ | $2(40.4 \%)$ | 3.55 |
| 5 | Mr. Manoj Kumar | KCS-303 | 24 | $0.1(7.1 \%)$ | $0.2(11.1 \%)$ | $1.2(41.4 \%)$ | $0.6(14.1 \%)$ | $1.3(26.3 \%)$ | 3.41 |
| 6 | Mr. Sunil Kumar | KCS-351 | 24 | $0.1(10.1 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.6(19.2 \%)$ | $0.9(22.2 \%)$ | $2(39.4 \%)$ | 3.72 |
| 7 | Ms. Divya Rathi | KCS-352 | 24 | $0.2(19.2 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.8(28.3 \%)$ | $0.5(13.1 \%)$ | $1.5(30.3 \%)$ | 3.26 |
| 8 | Mr. Manoj Kumar | KCS-353 | 24 | $0(4 \%)$ | $0.2(12.1 \%)$ | $1(33.3 \%)$ | $0.8(20.2 \%)$ | $1.5(30.3 \%)$ | 3.61 |
| 9 | Mr. Vijay Kr. Sharma | KCS-354 | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.8(28.3 \%)$ | $0.7(17.2 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.26 |
| 10 | Ms. Sonika Jalhotra | KNC-301 | 24 | $0.2(23.2 \%)$ | $0.2(8.1 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $0.9(23.2 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 3.05 |
| 11 | Dr. Niyati Garg | KVE-301 | 24 | $0(3 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.6(20.2 \%)$ | $0.3(8.1 \%)$ | $3(59.6 \%)$ | 4.12 |



| 9 | Dr. Mukesh Rawat | KCS-354 | 18 | $0(4.5 \%)$ | $0.1(3.5 \%)$ | $0.6(19.2 \%)$ | $1.5(37.4 \%)$ | $1.8(35.4 \%)$ | 3.95 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Ms. Ravinder Kaur | KNC-301 | 18 | $0.2(19.7 \%)$ | $0.1(3 \%)$ | $0.8(27.8 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $1.1(22.2 \%)$ | 3.29 |
| 11 | Dr. Niyati Garg | KVE-301 | 18 | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.1(4 \%)$ | $0.4(12.1 \%)$ | $0.9(22.7 \%)$ | $3(59.6 \%)$ | 4.35 |



| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ms. Nidhi Tawra | CSE-AWS | 24 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $0.4(18.2 \%)$ | $1.4(45.5 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 2.91 |
| 2 | Mr. Rohit Aggarwal | CSE-SAP | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 4 |
| 3 | DR. Reenu | RAS-501 | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.7(36.4 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3.18 |
| 4 | Ms. Madhu Singh | RAS-502 | 24 | $0.5(45.5 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $1.4(45.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 2 |
| 5 | Ms. Deepika Gupta | RCS-501 | 24 | $0.2(18.2 \%)$ | $0.4(18.2 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3 |
| 6 | Mr. Vinod Kumar | RCS-502 | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 4 |
| 7 | Ms. Pragya Gaur | RCS-503 | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |
| 8 | Ms. Deepika Gupta | RCS-551 | 24 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $0.5(27.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2.5(63.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3.18 |
| 9 | Ms. Anjali Sharma | RCS-552 | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |
| 10 | Ms. Pragya Gaur | RCS-553 | 24 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |
| 11 | Ms. Gunjan Sharma | RCS-554 | 24 | $0.5(45.5 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 2.36 |
| 12 | Ms. Gunjan Sharma | RCS-E12 | 24 | $0.5(45.5 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.8(45.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 2.45 |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CSE |  | Semester:: 5 | Section:: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MR. ARPESH SINGH | CSE-AWS | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.9 (63.6\%) | 1.5 (36.4\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3.36 |
| 2 | Ms. Neha Dixit | CSE-SAP | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.8 (27.3\%) | 2.9 (72.7\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3.73 |
| 3 | Nishtha | RAS-501 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 |
| 4 | Ms. Madhu Singh | RAS-502 | 26 | 1 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1 |
| 5 | Ms. Swati Jain | RCS-501 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 3.3 (81.8\%) | 0.5 (9.1\%) | 4 |
| 6 | Ms.Anjali Sharma | RCS-502 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 2.9 (72.7\%) | 0.5 (9.1\%) |  |
| $7$ | Ms. Magya Khurshid | RCS-503 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.1 (36.4\%) | 2.2 (54.5\%) | 0.5 (9.1\%) |  |


| 8 | Ms. Sonika Jalhotra | RCS-551 | 26 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.4(45.5 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Ms. Anjali Sharma | RCS-552 | 26 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $3.6(90.9 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| 10 | Ms. Mariya Khurshid | RCS-553 | 26 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $3.3(81.8 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| 11 | Mr. Pratik Shivastava | RCS-554 | 26 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.1(36.4 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| 12 | Mr. Pratik Shivastava | RCS-E12 | 26 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $2.9(72.7 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CSE |  | Semester:: 5 | Section:: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MR. ARPESH SINGH | CSE-AWS | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0.7 (18.2\%) | 3.6 (72.7\%) | 4.64 |
| 2 | Mr. Varun Kumar | CSE-SAP | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.1 (36.4\%) | 1.1 (27.3\%) | $1.8(36.4 \%)$ | 4 |
| 3 | Nishtha | RAS-501 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0.2 (9.1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.7 (18.2\%) | 3.6 (72.7\%) | 4.55 |
| 4 | Ms. Madhu Singh | RAS-502 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 2.2 (54.5\%) | 2.3 (45.5\%) | 4.45 |
| 5 | Ms. Swati Jain | RCS-501 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.5 (36.4\%) | 3.2 (63.6\%) | 4.64 |
| 6 | Mr. Vivek Kumar | RCS-502 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4.5 (90.9\%) | 4.82 |
| 7 | Ms. Anuradha | RCS-503 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4.5 (90.9\%) | 4.82 |
| 8 | Ms. Sonika Jalhotra | RCS-551 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.1 (27.3\%) | 3.6 (72.7\%) | 4.73 |
| 9 | Mr. Vivek Kumar | RCS-552 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4.5 (90.9\%) | 4.82 |
| 10 | Dr. Harish Kumar Taluja | RCS-553 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 1.5 (36.4\%) | 2.3 (45.5\%) | 4.27 |
| 11 | Ms. Bhawna Gupta | RCS-554 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4.5 (90.9\%) | 4.8 |
| 12 | gineeringuma Gupta | RCS-E12 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4.5 (90.9\%) | 4.85 |



| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CSE Semester:: 5 |  |  | Section:: F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Ms. Nidhi Tawra | CSE-AWS | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 2 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 2 |
| 2 | Mr. Sandeep Kumar | CSE-SAP | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 3 | Ms. Ritikeelyukherjee | RAS-501 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 |
| 4 | , Ms. Madhusiffgh | RAS-502 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3 |


| 5 | Ms. Sonika Jalhotra | RCS-501 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | MR. BALDIVYA MITRA | RCS-502 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 7 | Ms. Pragya Gaur | RCS-503 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 8 | Ms. Sonika Jalhotra | RCS-551 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 9 | MR. BALDIVYA MITRA | RCS-552 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 10 | Mr. Manoj Kumar | RCS-553 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 11 | Ms. Nidhi Tawra | RCS-554 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 1.8 (90.9\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.5 (9.1\%) | 2.27 |
| 12 | Ms. Priyanka Bhardwaj | RCS-E12 | 28 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |

Session:: 2019-20odd $\quad$ Branch:: CSE

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ROHIT AGGARWAL | RCS-071 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(15.2 \%)$ | $0.6(15.2 \%)$ | $3.5(69.7 \%)$ | 4.55 |
| 2 | Ms. Pragya Gaur | RCS-075 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.3(45.5 \%)$ | 4.18 |
| 3 | Mr. Davesh Singh Som | RCS-701 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.7(24.2 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $2(39.4 \%)$ | 4.15 |
| 4 | Ms. Shivani Rohilla | RCS-702 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $1.2(30.3 \%)$ | $2.6(51.5 \%)$ | 4.33 |
| 5 | Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh | RCS-751 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.36 |
| 6 | Ms. Priyanka Bhardwaj | RCS-752 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.6(21.2 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.6(51.5 \%)$ | 4.3 |
| 7 | Dr. Hema Negi | ROE-074 | 25 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.4(12.1 \%)$ | $1.3(33.3 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.42 |



| 3 | Mr. Davesh Singh Som | RCS-701 | 30 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.5(15.2 \%)$ | $0.4(9.1 \%)$ | $3.3(66.7 \%)$ | 4.33 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Ms. Shivani Rohilla | RCS-702 | 30 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3 \%)$ | $0.4(12.1 \%)$ | $0.6(15.2 \%)$ | $3.5(69.7 \%)$ | 4.52 |
| 5 | Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh | RCS-751 | 30 | $0(3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $0.5(12.1 \%)$ | $3.3(66.7 \%)$ | 4.39 |
| 6 | Ms. Priyanka Bhardwaj | RCS-752 | 30 | $0(3 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $0.5(12.1 \%)$ | $3.3(66.7 \%)$ | 4.3 |
| 7 | Dr. Hema Negi | ROE-074 | 30 | $0(3 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $0.4(9.1 \%)$ | $3.5(69.7 \%)$ | 4.33 |

Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: CSE Semester:: 7 Section:: D

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ROHIT AGGARWAL | RCS-071 | 32 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.6(20 \%)$ | $1.6(40 \%)$ | $2(40 \%)$ | 4.2 |
| 2 | Ms. Pragya Gaur | RCS-075 | 32 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.6(20 \%)$ | $1.6(40 \%)$ | $2(40 \%)$ | 4.2 |
| 3 | Mr. Davesh Singh Som | RCS-701 | 32 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.6(20 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $2.2(43.6 \%)$ | 4.24 |
| 4 | Ms. Shivani Rohilla | RCS-702 | 32 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.2(40 \%)$ | $0.8(20 \%)$ | $2(40 \%)$ | 4 |
| 5 | Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh | RCS-751 | 32 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.2(40 \%)$ | $0.8(20 \%)$ | $2(40 \%)$ | 4 |
| 6 | Ms. Priyanka Bhardwaj | RCS-752 | 32 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.6(20 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.6(52.7 \%)$ | 4.33 |
| 7 | Dr. Hema Negi | ROE-074 | 32 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.6(20 \%)$ | $0.8(20 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 4.4 |


| Session:: | 019-20odd Branch:: CSE S | mester:: 7 | Section:: E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MR. ROHIT AGGARWAL | RCS-071 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 2 | Ms. Pragya Gaur | RCS-075 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 3 | Mr. Davesh Singh Som | RCS-701 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.4 (9.1\%) | 4.5 (90.9\%) | 4.91 |
| 4 | Ms. Shivani Rohilla | RCS-702 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.5 (36.4\%) | 3.2 (63.6\%) | 4.64 |
| 5 | 4. SSinhiletritra Kumar Singh | RCS-751 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 1.8 (45.5\%) | 1.8 (36.4\%) | 4.18 |


| 6 | Ms. Priyanka Bhardwaj | RCS-752 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Dr. Hema Negi | ROE-074 | 26 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |




| 9 | Dr. Pradeep Pant | KNC-302 | 14 | 0.1 (9.7\%) | 0.3 (15.6\%) | 0.6 (19.5\%) | 1.1 (26.6\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Anchal Choudhary | KOE-035. | 14 | 0 (0\%) | $\frac{0.3(0 \%)}{}$ | $\frac{0.6 \text { (19.5\%) }}{0(0 \%)}$ | $\frac{1.1}{} 0$ (26.6\%) | $\frac{1.4(28.6 \%)}{0(0 \%)}$ | 3.49 0 |
| 11 | Anchal Choudhary | DS-LAB | 12 | 0.1 (5.3\%) | 0.3 (17.4\%) | 0.2 (7.6\%) | 1.5 (37.1\%) | 1.6 (32.6\%) | 3.74 |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: ECE |  | Semester:: 3 | Section:: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Anchal Choudhary | DS-LAB | 29 | 0 (3\%) | 0.1 (5.1\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 1.6 (39.4\%) | 2.2 (43.4\%) | 4.15 |
| 2 | Mr. Srinjoy Banerjee | KAS-301 | 29 | 0 (3\%) | 0 (2\%) | 0.3 (10.1\%) | 1.5 (37.4\%) | 2.4 (47.5\%) | 4.24 |
| 3 | Mr. Neeraj Joshi | KEC-301 | 29 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (2\%) | 1.2 (29.3\%) | 3.4 (68.7\%) | 4.67 |
| 4 | Ms. Mohini Preetam Singh Mr. Praveen Kumar | KEC-302 | 29 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (3\%) | 0.1 (2\%) | 1.3 (33.3\%) | 3.1 (61.6\%) | 4.54 |
| 5 | Chakravarti | KEC-303 | 29 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.6 (16.2\%) | 4.2 (83.8\%) | 4.84 |
| 6 | Mr. Neeraj Joshi | KEC-351 | 29 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.8 (19.2\%) | 4 (80.8\%) | 4.81 |
| 7 | MS. HIMANI VAROLIA Mr. Praveen Kumar | KEC-352 | 29 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (3\%) | 0.1 (4\%) | 2 (50.5\%) | 2.1 (42.4\%) | 4.32 |
| 8 | Chakravarti | KEC-353 | 29 | 0 (1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (1\%) | 0.6 (15.2\%) | 4.1 (82.8\%) | 4.79 |
| 9 | MS. HIMANI VAROLIA | KEC-354 | 29 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (2\%) | 1.5 (36.4\%) | 3.1 (61.6\%) | 4.6 |
| 10 | Dr. Pradeep Pant | KNC-302 | 29 | 0 (3\%) | 0.1 (5.1\%) | 0.7 (22.2\%) | 1.5 (38.4\%) | 1.6 (31.3\%) | 3.9 |
| 11 | Anchal Choudhary | KOE-035 | 29 | 0 (2\%) | 0.1 (3\%) | 0.4 (14.1\%) | 1.5 (37.4\%) | 2.2 (43.4\%) | 4.17 |


| Session:: 2019-20odd |
| :--- |
| 2 |

Session::


| 1 | Mr. Pratik Shivastava | JAVA-L1 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(4.5 \%)$ | $0.4(13.6 \%)$ | $2.2(54.5 \%)$ | $1.4(27.3 \%)$ | 4.05 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | DR. Reenu | RAS-501 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $3.2(63.6 \%)$ | 4.64 |
| 3 | MR. SUBODH KUMAR TRIPATHI | REC-051 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.6(52.3 \%)$ | 4.3 |
| 4 | Mr. Priyank Sharma | REC-501 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(4.5 \%)$ | $1.3(31.8 \%)$ | $3.2(63.6 \%)$ | 4.59 |
| 5 | MR. AMIT SAINI | REC-502 | 14 | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $1.3(31.8 \%)$ | $2.3(45.5 \%)$ | 4.16 |
| 6 | Dr. Neha Mittal | REC-503 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0.2(6.8 \%)$ | $1.5(38.6 \%)$ | $2.6(52.3 \%)$ | 4.41 |
| 7 | Mr. Priyank Sharma | REC-551 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(4.5 \%)$ | $1.8(45.5 \%)$ | $2.5(50 \%)$ | 4.45 |
| 8 | MR. AMIT SAINI | REC-552 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(2.3 \%)$ | $0.5(15.9 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $2.3(45.5 \%)$ | 4.25 |
| 9 | Ms. Kavita Chaudhary | REC-553 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2(50 \%)$ | $2.5(50 \%)$ | 4.5 |
| 10 | MS. HIMANI VAROLIA | REC-554 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $2.3(45.5 \%)$ | 4.27 |
| 11 | Ms. Ravinder Kaur | RUC-501 | 14 | $0.1(6.8 \%)$ | $0.1(6.8 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $1.2(29.5 \%)$ | $2.4(47.7 \%)$ | 4.05 |
| 12 | Ms. Abhilasha Jain | SCADA-1 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.4(13.6 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $2.5(50 \%)$ | 4.36 |



| 6 | Ms. Abhilasha Jain | REC-503 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2.4(59.1 \%)$ | $2(40.9 \%)$ | 4.41 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Ms. Aditi Singh | REC-551 | 16 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $0.5(27.3 \%)$ | $1.6(54.5 \%)$ | $0.2(4.5 \%)$ | $0.2(4.5 \%)$ | 2.68 |
| 8 | Dr. Amit Kumar Ahuja | REC-552 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.8(59.1 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $0.7(13.6 \%)$ | 3.55 |
| 9 | Ms. Kavita Chaudhary | REC-553 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $2.3(77.3 \%)$ | $0.4(9.1 \%)$ | $0.2(4.5 \%)$ | 3.09 |
| 10 | MS. HIMANI VAROLIA | REC-554 | 16 | $0.5(50 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.5(50 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 2 |
| 11 | Ms. Ravinder Kaur | RUC-501 | 16 | $0.5(45.5 \%)$ | $0.1(4.5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.8(45.5 \%)$ | $0.2(4.5 \%)$ | 2.59 |
| 12 | Ms. Mohini Preetam Singh | SCADA-1 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3 |


| Session: | 19-20odd Branch:: ECE Semester:: 7 |  | Section:: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | DR. NEHA MITTAL | REC-072 | 18 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.7 (22.7\%) | 1.8 (44.3\%) | 1.6 (33\%) | 4.1 |
| 2 | KAJAL SHIVRAJ MEENA | REC-075 | 18 | 0 (4.1\%) | 0.1 (5.8\%) | 0.7 (24.8\%) | 2.1 (52.9\%) | 0.6 (12.4\%) | 3.64 |
| 3 | Shivesh Tripathi | REC-701 | 18 | 0 (3.3\%) | 0.2 (10.7\%) | 1 (34.7\%) | 1.5 (38\%) | 0.7 (13.2\%) | 3.47 |
| 4 | RATNESHWAR KUMAR RATNESH | REC-702 | 18 | 0.2 (18.2\%) | 0.1 (6.6\%) | 0.9 (28.9\%) | 1.6 (38.8\%) | 0.4 (7.4\%) | 3.11 |
| 5 | Mr. Subodh Kumar Tripathi | REC-751 | 18 | 0 (2.5\%) | 0.1 (5\%) | 0.7 (23.1\%) | 1.8 (45.5\%) | 1.2 (24\%) | 3.83 |
| 6 | KAJAL SHIVRAJ MEENA | REC-752 | 18 | 0 (2.5\%) | 0.1 (5\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 2 (51.2\%) | 1.2 (23.1\%) | 3.88 |
| 7 | MS. SURBHI JAIN | ROE-073 | 18 | 0.3 (27.3\%) | 0 (2.5\%) | 0.6 (19\%) | 1.4 (33.9\%) | 0.9 (17.4\%) | 3.12 |
| 8 | DR. HEMA NEGI | ROE-074 | 18 | 0.1 (9.1\%) | 0.1 (4.1\%) | 1.1 (35.5\%) | 1.1 (28.1\%) | 1.2 (23.1\%) | 3.52 |
| 9 | MR. AMIT SAINI | REC-071 | 18 | 0.1 (12.1\%) | 0.4 (18.2\%) | 0.1 (3\%) | 1.1 (27.3\%) | 2 (39.4\%) | 3.64 dod |



Semester:: 7 Section:: B

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. AMIT SAINI | REC-071 | 16 | $0(3 \%)$ | $0.5(24.2 \%)$ | $0.2(6.1 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3.3(66.7 \%)$ | 4.03 |
| 2 | KAJAL SHIVRAJ MEENA | REC-075 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $0.5(16.7 \%)$ | $1.9(48.5 \%)$ | $1.7(33.3 \%)$ | 4.14 |
| 3 | Shivesh Tripathi | REC-701 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(16.7 \%)$ | $0.7(22.7 \%)$ | $1.7(42.4 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 3.62 |
| 4 | RATNESHWAR KUMAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RATNESH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Session:: | 019-200dd Branch:: ECE | nester:: 7 | Section:: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | DR. NEHA MITTAL | REC-072 | 15 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 |
| 2 | KAJAL SHIVRAJ MEENA | REC-075 | 15 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (3\%) | 0.6 (21.2\%) | 2.5 (63.6\%) | 0.6 (12.1\%) | 3.85 |
| 3 | $\frac{\text { Shivesh Tripathi }}{\text { RATNESHWAR KUMAR }}$ | REC-701 | 15 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (6.1\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 2.4 (60.6\%) | 0.8 (15.2\%) | 3.85 |
| 4 | RATNESH | REC-702 | 15 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (6.1\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 2.7 (66.7\%) | 0.5 (9.1\%) | 3.79 |
| 5 | Mr. Subodh Kumar Tripathi | REC-751 | 15 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (3\%) | 0.8 (27.3\%) | 1.6 (39.4\%) | 1.5 (30.3\%) | 3.97 |
| 6 | KAJAL SHIVRAJ MEENA | REC-752 | 15 | 0 (0\%) | 0.2 (9.1\%) | 0.6 (21.2\%) | 2.2 (54.5\%) | 0.8 (15.2\%) | 76 |
| 7 | S. BHAWMA | ROE-073 | 15 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (6.1\%) | 0.7 (24.2\%) | 2.3 (57.6\%) | 0.6 (12.1\%) | 3.76 |

$\square$

| ROE-074 | 15 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.6(21.2 \%)$ | $2.3(57.6 \%)$ | $0.6(12.1 \%)$ | 3.73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Semester:: 3 Section: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Dr Ramesh Chandra Chourasia | IOP-301 | 12 | 0.3 (31.1\%) | 0.1 (5.3\%) | 0.6 (20.5\%) | 0.5 (13.6\%) | 1.5 (29.5\%) | 3.05 |
| 2 | MR. BALDIVYA MITRA | IOP-302 | 12 | 0.2 (18.9\%) | 0.5 (24.2\%) | 0.1 (3.8\%) | 1 (24.2\%) | 1.4 (28.8\%) | 3.2 |
| 3 | Mr.Mohoshin Mandal | KAS-301 | 12 | 0.1 (6.1\%) | 0.1 (5.3\%) | 0.3 (8.3\%) | 0.2 (6.1\%) | 3.7 (74.2\%) | 4.37 |
| 4 | Mr. Neeraj Joshi | KEE-301 | 12 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0.5 (12.1\%) | 3.9 (78.8\%) | 4.7 |
| 5 | Mr. Puneet Kumar | KEE-302 | 12 | 0 (0.8\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (8.3\%) | 0.8 (18.9\%) | 3.6 (72\%) | 4.61 |
| 6 | Ms. Nidhi Agarwal | KEE-303 | 12 | 0 (0.8\%) | 0.2 (8.3\%) | 0.3 (11.4\%) | 0.7 (18.2\%) | 3.1 (61.4\%) | 4.31 |
| 7 | BHUPENDER SHARMA | KEE-351 | 12 | 0.2 (15.9\%) | 0 (0.8\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0.6 (15.2\%) | 3 (59.1\%) | 4.01 |
| 8 | Mr. Puneet Kumar | KEE-352 | 12 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (1.5\%) | 0.4 (13.6\%) | 0.5 (13.6\%) | 3.6 (71.2\%) | 4.55 |
| 9 | Mr. Vipin Kumar | KEE-353 | 12 | 0 (1.5\%) | 0.1 (6.1\%) | 0.3 (8.3\%) | 0.5 (11.4\%) | 3.6 (72.7\%) | 4.48 |
| 10 | Mr. Manoj Kumar | KNC-302 | 12 | 0.2 (18.9\%) | 0.2 (9.8\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 0.7 (17.4\%) | 1.8 (35.6\%) | 3.41 |
| 11 | BHUPENDER SHARMA | KOE-038 | 12 | 0.2 (15.9\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (8.3\%) | 0.6 (14.4\%) | 3.1 (61.4\%) | 4.05 |
| 12 | MEENAKSHI PANWAR | PDP-301 | 12 | 0 (3.8\%) | 0.2 (12.1\%) | 0.8 (25.8\%) | 0.2 (6.1\%) | 2.6 (52.3\%) | 3.91 |



| 2 | Ms. Shweta Shukla | IOP-3 | 16 | 0 (1.7\%) | 0.4 (21\%) | 1 (31.8\%) | 0.6 (14.8\%) | 1.5 (30.7\%) | 3.52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg | IOP-4 | 22 | 0 (5\%) | 0.1 (7.4\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 1.1 (26.9\%) | 2.1 (42.6\%) | 3.95 |
| 4 | Ms. Ritika Mukherjee | RAS-501 | 22 | 0 (5\%) | 0.3 (15.7\%) | 1 (32.6\%) | 0.8 (20.2\%) | 1.3 (26.4\%) | 3.48 |
| 5 | DR RAMESH CHANDRA CHOURASIA | REE-053 | 22 | 0.5 (45.5\%) | 0.3 (16.9\%) | 0.6 (18.6\%) | 0.3 (7.9\%) | 0.6 (11.2\%) | 2.22 |
| 6 | Mr. Vipin Kumar | REE-501 | 22 | 0 (0.4\%) | 0.2 (9.1\%) | 0.6 (19.4\%) | 1.1 (28.1\%) | 2.1 (43\%) | 4.04 |
| 7 | Mr. Avinash Kumar | REE-502 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (6.6\%) | 0.2 (6.6\%) | 1.5 (38\%) | 2.4 (48.8\%) | 4.29 |
| 8 | Mr. Masood Anzar | REE-503 | 22 | 0 (0.8\%) | 0.1 (4.1\%) | 0.4 (12.8\%) | 0.9 (23.6\%) | 2.9 (58.7\%) | 4.35 |
| 9 | Mr. Vipin Kumar | REE-551 | 16 | 0 (2.8\%) | 0.3 (14.8\%) | 0.5 (15.3\%) | 1.4 (35.2\%) | 1.6 (31.8\%) | 3.78 |
| 10 | Ms. Nidhi Agarwal | REE-553 | 16 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (5.7\%) | 0.8 (27.3\%) | 1.2 (29\%) | 1.9 (38.1\%) | 3.99 |
| 11 | Mr. Puneet Kumar | REE-554 | 16 | 0 (1.7\%) | 0.1 (7.4\%) | 0.6 (19.3\%) | 1.4 (34.1\%) | 1.9 (37.5\%) | 3.98 |
| 12 | Mr. Puneet Kumar | REE-555 | 22 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (5.8\%) | 0.5 (16.9\%) | 1 (24\%) | 2.7 (53.3\%) | 4.25 |
| 13 | MS. GUNJAN SHARMA | RUC-501 | 22 | 0.1 (8.3\%) | 0.4 (21.5\%) | 0.7 (23.1\%) | 0.9 (22.3\%) | 1.2 (24.8\%) | 3.34 |
| 14 | Mr. Avinash Kumar | VAC-3 | 16 | 0 (2.3\%) | 0.3 (13.6\%) | 0.4 (13.1\%) | 1.8 (43.8\%) | 1.4 (27.3\%) | 3.8 |


| Session: | 9-20odd Branch:: EEE | Semester:: 7 | Section:: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MS. SHWETA SHUKLA BHUPENDER SHARMA DR. A K BHARDWAJ | REE-071 | 6 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 2 |  | REE-072 | 6 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 3 |  | REE-076 | 6 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (1.5\%) | 0.9 (22.7\%) | 3.8 (75.8\%) | 4.74 |
| 4 | Mr. Masood Anzar | REE-701 | 6 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.7 (16.7\%) | 4.2 (83.3\%) | 4.83 |
| 5 | Mr. Puneet Kumar | REE-702 | 6 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |





| 1 | Mr. Ajay Kumar | AWS-501 | 15 | $0.2(18.2 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(1.8 \%)$ | $1(25.5 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 3.98 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | DR. Reenu | RAS-501 | 15 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(10.9 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $0.3(7.3 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.05 |
| 3 | Ms. Madhu Singh | RAS-502 | 15 | $0(1.8 \%)$ | $0.1(7.3 \%)$ | $1.2(40 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $2.4(47.3 \%)$ | 3.87 |
| 4 | Ms. Nidhi Tyagi | RCS-501 | 15 | $0(1.8 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $1(25.5 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 4.33 |
| 5 | Mr. Abhilash | RCS-502 | 15 | $0.1(7.3 \%)$ | $0(1.8 \%)$ | $0.2(7.3 \%)$ | $1.3(32.7 \%)$ | $2.5(50.9 \%)$ | 4.18 |
| 6 | Ms. Babli Kumari | RCS-503 | 15 | $0.1(7.3 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $0.2(5.5 \%)$ | $0.9(23.6 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 4.25 |
| 7 | Mr. Abhilash | RCS-551 | 15 | $0.1(7.3 \%)$ | $0(1.8 \%)$ | $0.3(10.9 \%)$ | $1.3(32.7 \%)$ | $2.4(47.3 \%)$ | 4.11 |
| 8 | Ms. Nidhi Tyagi | RCS-552 | 15 | $0(1.8 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $1(25.5 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 4.33 |
| 9 | Ms. Babli Kumari | RCS-553 | 15 | $0.1(7.3 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $0.2(5.5 \%)$ | $0.9(23.6 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 4.25 |
| 10 | JONEY KUMAR | RCS-554 | 15 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $0.7(23.6 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 4.02 |
| 11 | JONEY KUMAR | RIT-E13 | 15 | $0.1(10.9 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $0.7(21.8 \%)$ | $0.3(7.3 \%)$ | $2.8(56.4 \%)$ | 3.95 |
| 12 | Ms. Swati Sharma | SAP-501 | 15 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3.6 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 4.44 |



| 7 | Dr. Saumya Shah | ROE-071 | 23 | 0 (0\%) | 0.7 (33.3\%) | 1.1 (36.4\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.5 (30.3\%) | 3.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Session:: 2019-20odd $\quad$ Branch:: MEH

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mrs. Renu Sharma | KAS-301 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $0.7(18.2 \%)$ | $3.2(63.6 \%)$ | 4.45 |
| 2 | Dr. Pardeep Kumar | KME-301 | 14 | $0(4.5 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.5(50 \%)$ | 4.09 |
| 3 | Mr. Piyush Gupta | KME-302 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.5(38.6 \%)$ | $3.1(61.4 \%)$ | 4.61 |
| 4 | Dr Naman Jain | KME-303 | 14 | $0.3(25 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $1.4(34.1 \%)$ | $1.6(31.8 \%)$ | 3.48 |
| 5 | Mr. Piyush Gupta | KME-351 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(2.3 \%)$ | $1.8(45.5 \%)$ | $2.6(52.3 \%)$ | 4.5 |
| 6 | Dr Naman Jain | KME-352 | 14 | $0.3(25 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(6.8 \%)$ | $0.9(22.7 \%)$ | $2.3(45.5 \%)$ | 3.64 |
| 7 | Durga Prasad | KNC-302 | 14 | $0.3(29.5 \%)$ | $0.4(20.5 \%)$ | $0.3(11.4 \%)$ | $0.5(11.4 \%)$ | $1.4(27.3 \%)$ | 2.86 |
| 8 | Ms. Aditi Singh | KOE-038 | 14 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.5(22.7 \%)$ | $0.6(20.5 \%)$ | $1.2(29.5 \%)$ | $1.4(27.3 \%)$ | 3.61 |



| 6 | Dr. Sidharth Jain | KME-352 | 16 | $0.4(36.4 \%)$ | $0.5(27.3 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.9(18.2 \%)$ | 2.36 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Mr. Nitesh Kumar | KME-353 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $0.7(18.2 \%)$ | $3.6(72.7 \%)$ | 4.64 |
| 8 | Durga Prasad | KNC-302 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.7(18.2 \%)$ | $4.1(81.8 \%)$ | 4.82 |
| 9 | Mr. Arvind Kumar Pandey | KOE-038 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |

Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: MEH

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ms. Babita Singh | RAS-501 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.8(27.3 \%)$ | $0.4(9.1 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.09 |
| 2 | Mr. Rahul Tyagi | RME-051 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(9.1 \%)$ | $1.5(36.4 \%)$ | $2.7(54.5 \%)$ | 4.45 |
| 3 | Mr. Usman Ali | RME-501 | 18 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $1.1(54.5 \%)$ | $0.5(18.2 \%)$ | $0.7(18.2 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 2.45 |
| 4 | Mr. pankaj Niranja | RME-502 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |
| 5 | Mr. Mudit Sharma | RME-503 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 4 |
| 6 | Mr. Usman Ali | RME-551 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3 |
| 7 | Mr. pankaj Niranja | RME-552 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |
| 8 | Mr. Mudit Sharma | RME-553 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 4 |
| 9 | Mr. Rahul Sharma | RME-559 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3 |
| 10 | MR. SANJAY KUMAR SONKER | RUC-501 | 18 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3 |



| 7 | Mr. Santosh Kumar Rai | RME-753 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Dr. Saumya Shah | RME-754 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |
| 9 | Mr. Anupam Ratn | ROE-074 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 4 |

Session:: 2019-20odd $\quad$ Branch:: MEH

| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dr. Pardeep Kumar | RME-071 | 6 | $0.1(13.6 \%)$ | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.4(12.1 \%)$ | $1(25.8 \%)$ | $2.1 \quad(42.4 \%)$ | 3.77 |
| 2 | Mr. Gaurang Deep | RME-075 | 6 | $0.1(12.1 \%)$ | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.6(19.7 \%)$ | $0.5(13.6 \%)$ | $2.4(48.5 \%)$ | 3.8 |
| 3 | Dr. Tushar Jain | RME-701 | 6 | $0.1(9.1 \%)$ | $0.2(12.1 \%)$ | $0(1.5 \%)$ | $1.1(27.3 \%)$ | $2.5(50 \%)$ | 3.97 |
| 4 | Deepak Mittal | RME-702 | 6 | $0.2(18.2 \%)$ | $0.2(12.1 \%)$ | $0.1(3 \%)$ | $1(25.8 \%)$ | $2(40.9 \%)$ | 3.59 |
| 5 | Dr. Tushar Jain | RME-751 | 6 | $0.1(12.1 \%)$ | $0.2(7.6 \%)$ | $0.1(4.5 \%)$ | $1(24.2 \%)$ | $2.6(51.5 \%)$ | 3.95 |
| 6 | Deepak Mittal | RME-752 | 6 | $0.2(22.7 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.1(3 \%)$ | $0.2(6.1 \%)$ | $3(59.1 \%)$ | 3.7 |
| 7 | Mr. Anupam Ratn | ROE-074 | 6 | $0.1(13.6 \%)$ | $0.1(6.1 \%)$ | $0.2(6.1 \%)$ | $0.7(18.2 \%)$ | $2.8(56.1 \%)$ | 3.97 |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: MCA |  | Semester:: 1 Section: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MR. MRAGANK SINGHAL | MCA-DHTML | 14 | 0 (1.3\%) | 0 (0.6\%) | 0.2 (7.8\%) | 1 (24.7\%) | 3.3 (65.6\%) | 4.53 |
| 2 | MS. VRINDA SHARMA | MCA-ENG1 | 14 | 0.3 (26.6\%) | 0.3 (13\%) | 0.5 (16.2\%) | 1 (24.7\%) | 1 (19.5\%) | 2.97 |
| 3 | MR. ANKUR RASTOGI | MCA-SAP | 14 | 0 (4.5\%) | 0.3 (16.9\%) | 0.3 (9.7\%) | 1.1 (28.6\%) | 2 (40.3\%) | 3.83 |
| 4 | Ekta Tyagi | RCA-101 | 14 | 0.1 (13.6\%) | 0.1 (4.5\%) | 0.3 (10.4\%) | 1.3 (32.5\%) | 1.9 (39\%) | 3.79 |
| 5 | Aruina | RCA-102 | 14 | 0 (1.9\%) | 0 (1.3\%) | 0.1 (2.6\%) | 1.1 (27.9\%) | 3.3 (66.2\%) | 4.55 |


| 6 | MR. MRAGANK SINGHAL | RCA-103 | 14 | $0(1.3 \%)$ | $0(1.9 \%)$ | $0.4(11.7 \%)$ | $0.8(20.1 \%)$ | $3.2(64.9 \%)$ | 4.45 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Ms. Neha Gupta | RCA-104 | 14 | $0.3(28.6 \%)$ | $0.1(7.1 \%)$ | $0.6(18.8 \%)$ | $1(24 \%)$ | $1.1(21.4 \%)$ | 3.03 |
| 8 | Dr. Alka Chaudhary | RCA-105 | 14 | $0(4.5 \%)$ | $0.1(3.9 \%)$ | $0.3(9.7 \%)$ | $1.2(30.5 \%)$ | $2.6(51.3 \%)$ | 4.2 |
| 9 | Dr. Alka Chaudhary | RCA-151 | 14 | $0(3.2 \%)$ | $0.1(3.9 \%)$ | $0.3(11 \%)$ | $1.1(26.6 \%)$ | $2.8(55.2 \%)$ | 4.27 |
| 10 | MR. MRAGANK SINGHAL | RCA-152 | 14 | $0(1.3 \%)$ | $0(1.9 \%)$ | $0.3(10.4 \%)$ | $0.8(20.8 \%)$ | $3.3(65.6 \%)$ | 4.47 |


| Session.: | 9-20odd Branch:. MCA | emester.: 3 | ection:: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MS. VRINDA SHARMA | MCA-ENG3 | 16 | 0.1 (12.5\%) | 0.7 (33.5\%) | 0.8 (25\%) | 0.9 (22.7\%) | 0.3 (6.3\%) | 2.77 |
| 2 | DR. VINAY KUMAR SINGHAL | MCA-WT | 16 | 0 (0\%) | 0.2 (8.5\%) | 0.3 (11.4\%) | 1.5 (37.5\%) | 2.1 (42.6\%) | 4.14 |
| 3 | MS. BEENA SACHAN | RCA-301 | 16 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (5.1\%) | 0.6 (21\%) | 1.1 (27.3\%) | 2.3 (46.6\%) | 4.15 |
| 4 | DR. VINAY KUMAR SINGHAL | RCA-302 | 16 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (5.7\%) | 0.4 (13.6\%) | 1.7 (41.5\%) | 2 (39.2\%) | 4.14 |
| 5 | MR. SYED NAUSHAD IMAM | RCA-303 | 16 | 0 (0.6\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (9.1\%) | 0.9 (22.2\%) | 3.4 (68.2\%) | 4.57 |
| 6 | Ms. Neha Gupta | RCA-304 | 16 | 0.2 (17.6\%) | 0.1 (6.3\%) | 0.2 (8\%) | 0 (0.6\%) | 0.3 (5.1\%) | 2.18 |
| 7 | MR. ANKUR RASTOGI | RCA-305 | 16 | 0.1 (8\%) | 0.4 (20.5\%) | 0.8 (25.6\%) | 1.3 (31.3\%) | 0.7 (14.8\%) | 3.24 |
| 8 | MS. BEENA SACHAN | RCA-351 | 16 | 0 (0\%) | 0.1 (6.8\%) | 0.7 (22.2\%) | 1.4 (34.1\%) | 1.8 (36.9\%) | 4.01 |
| 9 | MR. SYED NAUSHAD IMAM | RCA-352 | 16 | 0 (0.6\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.3 (10.2\%) | 0.9 (22.7\%) | 3.3 (66.5\%) | 4.55 |
| 10 | DR. K S MISHRA | RCA-A01 | 9 | 0 (1\%) | 0.3 (15.2\%) | 0.7 (24.2\%) | 1.3 (32.3\%) | 1.4 (27.3\%) | 3.7 |
| 11 | Enginesretita Tyagi | MCA-C | 5 | 0.4 (40\%) | 0.1 (3.6\%) | 0.1 (1.8\%) | 2.2 (54.5\%) | 0 (0\%) | $2.71 / 8$ |

Session:: 2019-20odd $\quad$ Branch:: MCA

| Semester:: 3 | Section:: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject <br> Code | No. Of <br> Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | MS. VRINDA SHARMA | MCA-ENG3 | 19 | $0.1(5.7 \%)$ | $0.3(16.3 \%)$ | $1(34 \%)$ | $0.9(23 \%)$ | $1.1(21.1 \%)$ | 3.37 |
| 2 | DR. VINAY KUMAR SINGHAL | MCA-WT | 19 | $0(0.5 \%)$ | $0.1(2.9 \%)$ | $0.5(15.3 \%)$ | $0.9(22.5 \%)$ | $2.9(58.9 \%)$ | 4.36 |
| 3 | MS. BEENA SACHAN | RCA-301 | 19 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3.8 \%)$ | $0.4(12.9 \%)$ | $0.9(23.4 \%)$ | $3(59.8 \%)$ | 4.39 |
| 4 | DR. VINAY KUMAR SINGHAL | RCA-302 | 19 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(2.4 \%)$ | $0.5(17.2 \%)$ | $0.9(21.5 \%)$ | $2.9(58.9 \%)$ | 4.37 |
| 5 | MR. SYED NAUSHAD IMAM | RCA-303 | 19 | $0(0.5 \%)$ | $0(1 \%)$ | $0.1(3.8 \%)$ | $0.7(17.7 \%)$ | $3.9(77 \%)$ | 4.7 |
| 6 | Ms. Neha Gupta | RCA-304 | 19 | $0.3(25.4 \%)$ | $0.1(4.3 \%)$ | $0.4(12.9 \%)$ | $0.2(3.8 \%)$ | $0.3(6.2 \%)$ | 2.26 |
| 7 | MR. ANKUR RASTOGI | RCA-305 | 19 | $0(0.5 \%)$ | $0.3(16.3 \%)$ | $0.5(15.3 \%)$ | $0.9(22 \%)$ | $2.3(45.9 \%)$ | 3.97 |
| 8 | MS. BEENA SACHAN | RCA-351 | 19 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.2(9.1 \%)$ | $0.2(6.2 \%)$ | $1.4(34.4 \%)$ | $2.5(50.2 \%)$ | 4.26 |
| 9 | MR. SYED NAUSHAD IMAM | RCA-352 | 19 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(3.3 \%)$ | $0.8(20.1 \%)$ | $3.8(76.6 \%)$ | 4.73 |
| 10 | DR. K S MISHRA | RCA-A01 | 16 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.1(6.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1.1(28.4 \%)$ | $3.3(65.3 \%)$ | 4.53 |



| 6 | MR. ANKUR RASTOGI | RCA-E21 | 10 | $0.1(11.8 \%)$ | $0.4(19.1 \%)$ | $0.5(17.3 \%)$ | $0.8(20 \%)$ | $1.6(31.8 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | DR. VINAY KUMAR SINGHAL | RCA-E45 | 10 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0.3(13.6 \%)$ | $0.8(26.4 \%)$ | $1.1(28.2 \%)$ | $1.6(31.8 \%)$ |
| 8 | MR. MRAGANK SINGHAL | RCAE-31 | 10 | $0.1(5.5 \%)$ | $0.2(8.2 \%)$ | $0.6(20.9 \%)$ | $0.9(23.6 \%)$ | $2.1(41.8 \%)$ |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: MBA |  | Semester: 1 | Section:: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Ms. Aruna | KMB-101 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.1 (27.3\%) | 3.6 (72.7\%) | 4.73 |
| 2 | Komal Sharma | KMB-102 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 3 | Dr. Sandeep Kapoor | KMB-103 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 4 | Hemant Purohit | KMB-104 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3 |
| 5 | NAVNEESH TYAGI | KMB-105 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 4 |
| 6 | Dr. Vaishali Goel | KMB-106 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 7 | SHADMA SHAHID | KMB-107 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1.5 (36.4\%) | 3.2 (63.6\%) | 4.64 |
| 8 | Mr. Umang Rastogi | KMB-108 | 20 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3 |


| Session:: 2019-20odd Branch:: MBA |  | Semester:: 1 | Section:: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.No. | Faculty Name | Subject Code | No. Of Student | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Feed Back |
| 1 | Ms. Aruna | KMB-101 | 18 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 2 | Komal Sharma | KMB-102 | 18 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 |
| 3 | Dr. Sandeep Kapoor | KMB-103 | 18 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.4 (9.1\%) | 4.5 (90.9\%) | 4.91 |
| 苼 | Hemant Purohit | KMB-104 | 18 | 1 (100\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 1 |
| 5 | NAVNEESH TYAGI | KMB-105 | 18 | 0.3 (27.3\%) | 0.7 (36.4\%) | 0.5 (18.2\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0.9 (18.2\%) | 2.45 |
| 6 | Dr. Vaishali Goel | KMB-106 | 18 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) |  |
| 7 | Ms. Ritika Mukherjee | KMB-107 | 18 | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 5 (100\%) |  |
| 8 | MK. Gmang Rastogi | KMB-108 | 18 | 0.1 (9.1\%) | 0.5 (27.3\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) | 3.2 (63.6\%) | 3.82 |




| 10 | DR. Reenu | KMB-FM02 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Nishtha | KMB-FM03 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(100 \%)$ | 5 | $0(0)$ |
| 12 | Mr. Rocky Sachan | KMB-MK01 | 12 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 3 |  |



| S.No. | Characteristic |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Curriculum and Syllabi of the Courses |
| 2 | Usage of teaching aids and ICT in the class by faculty to facilitate teaching |
| 3 | Punctuality \& Regularity in the Class |
| 4 | Command in subject |
| 5 | Progress \& coverage of syllabus |
| 6 | Delivery of structured lecture i.e topics are covered sequentially and in planned manner |
| 7 | Communication skills |
| 8 | Class control |
| 9 | Skill of linking subject to life experience \& creating interest in the subject |
| 10 | Quality of assignments \& study material |
| 11 | Shares the answers of class tests or sessional test questions after the conduct of the class tests/sessional tests. |
| 12 | Fairness in the assessment processes (Sessional exams Quiz, Assignments, etc.) |
| 13 | Opportunities for out of class room learning (guest lectures, seminars, Content beyond the syllabus) |
| 14 | Helps students in realizing their strengths, developmental needs and career goals |
| 15 | Overall Learning Experience |



# Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, Meerut <br> Action Taken Report- 2019-20 

| Concerns Received | Action Taken |
| :--- | :--- |
| Add on more training programs. Do collaborate with <br> companies, try to bring core companies more | More training programs are added after receiving <br> feedback from employer in time table with regular <br> curriculum. |
| there should be at least one lecture for sports every day | Sports activities are conducted on Saturdays. |
| should focus on training regarding department rather than <br> teaching | Trainings programs are added in time table with <br> regular curriculum. |
| There should be summer trainings of placements at the <br> start of first year. | As per AKTU scheme, there is summer training after <br> first year. |
| rather just focusing on learning, more focus should be on <br> practicality and discipline in the campus is one <br> thing that most has to be taken care of | Practical trainings are there with the theoretical <br> classes. Feedbacks are discussed with HoDs and ask <br> to discuss with faculty members. |
| more coding practice session must be including rather <br> then theory knowledge | Coding classes will be included in time table as IOPs. |
| library and study level has to be increased and placement <br> too | Libraries and placement activities are conducted on <br> Saturdays |
| provide training industrial demand technologies according <br> to | Industrial trainings are in place as per AKTU scheme. |
| 1. college needs to be more focused on sports facilities for <br> students there is no cricket, football <br> ground 2. their should be atleast 2 games periods in a <br> week for every year | Sports activities are conducted on Saturdays. |
| please focus more on developing the skills of students <br> rather than taking theory classes $24^{* 7}$ | Skill programs will be added in the time table. |
| increase the time of issuing of library books | Time will increase and same is communicated to <br> library. |
| every department should have its own libreary in its <br> building rather than the central library | Departmental library is in place. |
| core company placement are nill (we expect some good <br> company) but instead get MBA, or 10k salery <br> company which we can also get own our own | Feedback is shared with placement cell. |

